

**PATTERNS
OF
TREASON -
:
THE
"GENOCIDE"
PLOT**

—•—
WILL THEY ABOLISH UNITED STATES?
—•—

By MYRON C. FAGAN
—•—

No. 74

Published by
CINEMA EDUCATIONAL GUILD, INC.

MYRON C. FAGAN, NATIONAL DIRECTOR

Organized to Combat Communism.

FEB. - MAR., 1960 - News-Bulletin

WHO IS MYRON C. FAGAN?

In response to numerous requests, in our next issue we will publish a comprehensive profile of Mr. Fagan's background. We could refer all inquiries to "Who's Who in the Theatre", which covers his career in the Theatre. But there is even more than that in his background — such as Hollywood, the Press, and even the World of Politics. Regrettably, we lack the space for it in this issue. Ed.

ORDER FROM

CPA BOOK PUBLISHER

P. O. Box 596, Boring, OR 97009

Email: cpabooks@hotmail.com

VOICE OF THE PEOPLE

Throughout all his years in the Senate, William Jenner iterated and reiterated that "*We must get the story to the people!*" His theory was that only a thoroughly *informed* people can save our country — that their wrath can FORCE Congress to take the action that will unmask and smash the Conspiracy to destroy the United States . . . *and how right he was!*

It was the wrath of a finally *informed* people that forced those televised Congressional investigations of the Reds in Hollywood that smashed that Conspiracy . . . it was the wrath of the *informed* people in California that *forced* that State's Legislature to hold an open-to-the-public review of the traitorous "United World Federalists" Resolution — *and smashed that Conspiracy in ALL the States* . . . ditto the UN's "Genocide" plot.

But in the recent plot to repeal the "Connally Amendment", and thus place the United States under the compulsory jurisdiction of the UN's "World Court", we have the best example of how Congress will obey the mandate of *an informed* — *and enraged* — people, to wit:

In November (1959) the members of both Houses of Congress were (privately) polled for their reaction to President Eisenhower's demand for the repeal of the "Connally Amendment". In deference to the great man, more than *sixty percent* expressed themselves in favor of repeal; approximately *twenty-five percent*, like the girl in that famous old song, didn't say "Yes" and they didn't say "No" less than *fifteen percent* were against repeal.

That was a story we just had to get to the people! We devoted our January (1960) News-Bulletin (No. 73) to a complete expose of the "World Court" plot — showing in detail how and why the whole Internationalist-Communist "One-World" conspiracy depends upon it; we revealed how the Press and Radio (*controlled by the ENEMY WITHIN*) "suckered" the U. S. Senate into ratifying the UN Charter, *virtually sight unseen*; we named all of the various UN gimmicks and special "treaties" which were to emasculate our Constitution, nullify our Bill of Rights, void our Declaration of Independence — and, finally, to establish the UN Charter as the supreme Law of our Land.

An then we established that all of those UN gimmicks were the preliminary steps to the setting up of the "One-World Government"

— and the transformation of the United States into a province of that One-World Government . . . but we also established that none of those UN gimmicks had any force or authority until and unless the “World Court” would transform them into Laws of our Land . . . *but, we pointed out, the “World Court” had no power to do that until and unless our Senate placed the United States under the COMPULSORY jurisdiction of that “Court”.*

Next we revealed in that same “News-Bulletin” how the Wayne Morse Resolution, submitted for ratification by the Senate on August 1, 1946, would have given the “World Court” that *fatal* compulsory jurisdiction — *and power* — and how, in the nick of time, Senator Connally saved our country (*and I say that advisedly*) by his insistence that those famous six little words “*as determined by the United States*” be added to the Morse Resolution.

I cannot stress too strongly that were it not for those six little words, by now the United States would be as completely under the control of the “United Nations” as Hungary is under the complete control of Moscow!

Senator Wayne Morse knew that when he submitted his traitorous Resolution in 1946. Dwight D. Eisenhower knows that repeal of the Connally Amendment *now* will do that very job today — *if he doesn't, he is a moron and should never have been allowed to hold public office* — Nixon knows it — Christian Herter knows it — so do Hubert Humphrey and Jake Javits — and, *of course*, so does U. S. Attorney General Rogers.

And what is their excuse? That we would be setting an example for all the other nations in the world to place themselves under the *compulsory* jurisdiction of the World Court — *and, by that token, of Eisenhower's beloved UN!* So, for that reason, we, the American people, should surrender our sovereignty, our independence, our Freedoms and our national security to the (tender) mercies of a World Court composed of fifteen (one American) Foreign and Communist “Justices” — *who hate our guts!*

And don't forget: some of those same “humanitarians” have been intimating that we should cease all Nuclear tests, surrender the Panama Canal, and even totally disarm ourselves — *as another example for all the other nations in the world!*

But what if all the other nations in the world — and Moscow — refuse to be “sucked in” by our “examples”? Has our great “Military Genius”—and all those other American “humanitarians”—thought of that? . . . Should we, the American people, commit national suicide — just to prove to them how “mistaken” they are?

In view of all that, I say — *and I sincerely hope that you agree with me* — that all those men who have been agitating for the repeal of the Connally Amendment are just as guilty of *deliberate* treason as were Quisling and Pierre Laval and Marshal Petain . . . and that every one of them should be impeached and tried for high treason — *as were Quisling and Laval and Petain!*

Now for the PROOF that if we can just get "*the story*" to *the people*, they will see to it that the men they send to Washington will obey *their* mandates, not the mandates of the stooges of the Masterminds of the Great Conspiracy to transform the United States into an enslaved province of a (UN) One-World Government:

We issued our alert (News-Bulletin 73) in December. Within a matter of weeks, indeed I might say, days, an avalanche of orders for more copies, *many thousands of copies*, began to pour in on us — *and still are pouring in*. Almost simultaneously, various other pro-America publications and heads of patriotic groups "*sprang to arms*". Within a month the entire nation was alerted — or so it seemed. The flood of angry letters, telegrams, even long-distance phone calls, that poured into Washington exceeded even the avalanche that followed Eisenhower's invasion of Little Rock. Simultaneously *the people* were flooding their newspapers with equally angry "Letters to the Editors." And many of the newspapers, realizing that *the people* were really aroused and "fighting mad", not only published the letters, but reacted with their own "Editorials" protesting against repeal of the Connally Amendment. Some were sincere and vigorous protests, some were lukewarm. But the important point is that "the story" got through to the people — *and the people got their story through to their representatives in Washington !!!* With the following result: February 18 was the date on which the Resolution was to have been submitted to the full Senate, but by the 10th of February it was an open secret on Capitol Hill that very few Senators would have the courage to defy their angry constituents by voting for repeal. The 18th came and went — and there wasn't even a mention of the Resolution, let alone submitting it to a vote.

And there we have the proof that the fate of our nation lies in the hands of the people. As Senator Jenner said, in so many words: "*We must get the story to the people — then the people will tell the Congress what to do — AND CONGRESS WILL OBEY!*"

Well, we got *this* story to the people — and the people did what Jenner said they would do. And we've won a great victory. But let's

not get too cocky about it — this one victory will not win the war. More important, this victory, *unless we stay on the alert*, can yet be turned into a disastrous defeat. Because this traitorous Resolution has not been “killed” — it is being held “in Committee”. *And it can be slipped through — when and if the people go back into slumberland!* It is up to us to make sure that the people will NOT go back into slumberland!

Furthermore, as I have so often reiterated, the Masterminds of the Great Conspiracy *never quit*. One defeat does not stop them — or even dishearten them. In 1947 we unmasked, and finally smashed, their Hollywood conspiracy . . . in 1949 we unmasked and smashed their “United World Federalist” treason plot . . . in 1950 we smashed their “GENOCIDE” plot, etc., etc., etc. But none of those defeats stopped them. They always come back with the same plots under new names, with new gimmicks, under new sponsorships. Right now, even as they are biding their time to revive the presently defeated (in Committee) Humphrey Resolution No. 94, they already have other Resolutions ready for submission in both Houses of Congress, which, if passed, will achieve practically the same results that they had hoped — *and perhaps still hope* — to accomplish with the Humphrey Resolution.

●

“CLARK CONCURRENT RESOLUTION 83”

●

That is the title of the next treason Bill that will be sprung on the Senate — unless we, *the people*, tell the Senators *in no uncertain language* that we know it is treason.

Employing that same old PEACE bait, Senator Clark (Pennsylvania), father of No. 83, piously proclaims that the Bill is “*designed to strengthen the authority of the United Nations to prevent war*”. But one hardly needs to look *between* the lines of this Resolution to find that, like the Humphrey Resolution, it is designed to transform our nation into a Socialist (Communist) Republic within a Socialist (Communist) One-World Government by changes in the UN Charter for the promotion of Eisenhower’s blatantly proclaimed “*World Peace Through World Law*” — so called.

That “*designed to strengthen the authority of the United Nations to prevent war*” is in itself the height of sheer and brazen impudence — and an insult to the intelligence of Clark’s colleagues in the Senate, let alone to the intelligence of the American people. The UN surely had plenty of authority in the Korean War situation —

enough to get General Douglas MacArthur "fired" in order to prevent the defeat of the Communists ! !

But even more brazen was the statement of Senator Clark in support of his treasonable Resolution 83 before his colleagues — obviously in anticipation of denunciation:

"Sovereignty", he expounded, "is an emotion-packed battle cry always raised against proposals to recognize the interdependence of nations . . . I am convinced that the people of this country are coming to a realization that we do not now enjoy and have not for some time in the past enjoyed unfettered sovereignty . . ."

There is only one fit retort to such impertinent demagoguery — Don Bell, in one of his recent (Closer-Up) reports expressed it aptly: "Senator Clark", said Bell, *"is absolutely correct that we have already surrendered our sovereignty to the United Nations . . . Before defending our nation we must ask UN permission to do so . . . and we are bound by the treaty making powers of UN Special Agencies. But all this has been forced on us against the people's wishes . . . we obey because our own Courts and our own departments of government say we must. However, we are the only major world power that does obey the UN . . . no other such power will obey — because the UN has proved to be a complete failure and is totally ignored as a peace agency by every nation save the United States, so for such reasons the one-worlders are resolved to make the UN work — even if the whole world is plunged into another Dark Age to make it work"*.

There is only one way to smash all such acts of treason: write a letter or telegram to your Senators and Representatives, and to the Senate Foreign Relations Committee (*attention Senator J. W. Fulbright*) denouncing the Clark Resolution (Concurrent Resolution 83); the McDowell Resolution (H.R. 558) — and continue denunciation of Humphrey's Joint Resolution 94, and any and all other proposed Legislation that would jeopardize or limit the United States. Do it TODAY . . . let them know that you are fully awake — *and that you are watching their voting records!*

●

SCUTTLING THE "MONROE DOCTRINE"?

●

A searching appraisal of Eisenhower's "good will" pilgrimage in South America leaves one with a startling conclusion: his announcement of a United States policy of "non-interference" in South American affairs — his intimations that we should grant Panama

equal sovereignty in the Canal Zone — his meek acceptance of Castro's arrogance and insults, together with his constantly reiterated "disarmament" pronouncements, indicate a planned abandonment of the Monroe Doctrine — thus opening the gate to all-out activation of Communist take-over operations in the Western Hemisphere and the final surrounding and strangling of the United States exactly pursuant to the Communist long-range program of global Conquest. A statement in "Human Events" (March 3, 1960) lends a lot of significance to that conclusion — it reads as follows:

"The White House stated that Brother Milton, who joined the President in Puerto Rico right after his (Milton's) speech (in support of Krushchev and his unprecedented imperialistic operations) will be with Ike throughout his entire Latin-American tour, a tour which is expected to shape our policy for all lands south of the border."

We know all about Brother Miltie. We know what kind of an American he is. We also know that Brother Ike stands in awe of Brother Miltie's "superior" brain. We can rest assured that Brother Miltie didn't go along to South America just for the ride. Do we have to guess what "our policy" for South America will be if Congress allows the Milton-prompted Ike to call the shots?

And doesn't it seem strange that until "Human Events" let the cat out of the bag there wasn't any mention in the Press that Brother Miltie had accompanied Brother Ike on that "good-will" tour? In all of the verbose reportings of that tour not one reporter ever mentioned that Miltie was among those present. Why was it kept such a dark, deep secret? . . . Was it fear that his being along would throw suspicion on the whole project? . . . Is it because Ike is afraid to let the American people know that Miltie, Sherman Adams — *and others of that ilk* — make his decisions for him? That would be a silly reason, for today it is no longer a secret that Eisenhower has never made his own decisions — that even during all of his years as Supreme Commander of Allied Forces in World War II his decisions were made for him by Roosevelt, by Marshall, by Winston Churchill — *and by Joe Stalin!*

●

PATTERNS OF TREASON

●

Shortly after the ill-omened birth of the "United Nations", a noted Spanish writer, Professor S. de Madariaga, warned us in his book "Victors Beware" that:

"The (UN) Charter is in the main a translation of the Russian system into an international idiom and its adaptation to an international community (of enslavement) . . . UNO bore upon its brow from the very beginning the mark of Moscow".

I will go a step farther than did the Professor: *both Moscow and the UN bear upon their brows the mark of the Masterminds of the Great Conspiracy.* Both were created and masterminded — and financed — by the same Internationalist conspirators in London (the Rothschilds) and New York. Both are driving toward the same objective. There is just one difference: the Moscow banditti are operating in their already conquered areas of the world — *conquered for them by Franklin Roosevelt, with our unwitting help* — therefore they don't have to camouflage their bestiality; whereas the UN is operating in a (as yet) free land, therefore they must employ craft and Machiavellian guile to achieve their objectives. But if we will think back we will find that Lenin and Trotsky and Stalin employed that very same kind of craft and guile — *until "humanitarian" FDR delivered them from the need of it!*

•

THEIR TECHNIQUES

•

In our previous issue (News-Bulletin No. 73) I reviewed the techniques employed by the Masterminds of the Great Conspiracy to camouflage and shield the various UN Agencies that are to do the job of deluding and seducing the American people into accepting the UN as a One-World Government — *"to preserve the PEACE of the World"*. I established, *with documentary evidence*, how the UN is enabled to shrug off all responsibility for treason committed by its agencies. The method is simple — and fiendishly clever, to-wit: Every UN agency operates under the direction of a prominent (renegade) American — or under the direct (protection) sponsorship of the U. S. State Department. To show how that technique is worked I cited the case of UNRRA.

UNRRA was caught red-handedly aiding Moscow to enslave *all* the Balkan nations. When that scheme was exposed, the UN, with a great show of injured innocence, disavowed all responsibility — *and pointed to the obvious fact that that entire "Relief" project had been under the direction of that great American (?) "humanitarian", Ex-Senator Herbert Lehman . . .* In like manner, when it was "discovered" that all of the UNESCO activities were directed toward infiltrating our schools and poisoning the minds of our

youth, the UN "proved" its innocence by pointing to the fact that UNESCO was operating under the direct supervision of the "United States National Commission for UNESCO" — *authorized and set up by the U. S. State Department* . . . When in 1949, we (CEG) unmasked — *and smashed* — the "United World Federalists" plot, the UN (*Lodge acting as its spokesman*) denied all affiliation with that treason outfit, pointing to the fact that it was an independent organization composed of "*distinguished American humanitarians*" — and that the only interest they had in common with UWF was a desire to "*preserve the PEACE of the World*" . . . Likewise, when we exposed the secret agreement between Hiss and Molotov whereby a Moscow functionary would always head the UN Military Secretariat, the UN did not issue an *outright denial* — they challenged us to *prove* it. Of course, inasmuch as that agreement was an oral one, we had no *documentary* proof, but we pointed to the *prima facie* evidence, — the fact that from the inception of the UN none but a Russian Red had held that post. Thereupon, the State Department, the Pentagon and Henry Cabot Lodge (*still a "Junior" in those days*), frenziedly rushed to the rescue of the UN. They issued official "Bulletins" denying the existence of the secret agreement — and jeeringly challenged us to produce the evidence . . . Then, lo and behold, Trygvie Lie wrote a book in which he revealed, in no uncertain language, that there was such a secret agreement — and the State Department and the Pentagon, the UN and the Lodge man, promptly went into a deep silence about the entire matter.

Note: At this point I wish to insert a letter I received recently from Congressman Usher L. Burdick (now retired). Burdick was among the several hundred members of Congress who attended the opening night of "THIEVES' PARADISE" (May 20, 1956) at the Shubert Theatre in Washington, D.C. He was shocked and horrified by the revelations in the play, especially the Hiss-Molotov secret agreement. He found it hard to believe. Thereupon, to satisfy himself, he made a personal search of the UN files and records — and then published his findings in the Congressional Record. In those "findings" he emphatically confirmed all my charges! Following is the letter from Mr. Burdick:

**"Myron C. Fagan, Nat'l Director
Cinema Educational Guild, Inc.,
P. O. Box 46205, Cole Branch
Hollywood 46, Calif.**

"Dear Mr. Fagan:

"Your circular (UN Tract No. 2) quoting me on the United Nations

Security Council received. The quote is correct and I am pleased you issued it. It may possibly do some good.

"It is generally admitted now that there was a secret agreement between the Communists on one side and Alger Hiss representing the State Department, at a London conference, and that the agreement provided that a Russian Communist should ALWAYS be Secretary of the Security Division — and that agreement was carried out to the letter; a Russian Communist has been ever since, and is now, that Secretary. We had a hard time getting the proof, but since Trygvie Lie coughed up the truth, it is now generally admitted.

"You can see what chance McArthur had to win the Korean War. With a Russian Communist Secretary okaying all orders how could we win such a war? Our every intention and every move was made known in advance to the Red Chinese.

"Sincerely yours,
"Usher L. Burdick"



Now let's consider for a moment this latest act of treason: the attempt to repeal the "Connally Amendment". Had it been successful it automatically would have placed the United States under the *compulsory jurisdiction* of the "World Court" — and given the UN a clear strangle-hold on our nation. Yet, any accusation that the UN had instigated that plot could be made to appear ridiculous by pointing to the indisputable fact that it was our own "God-Man" President, our own Vice President Nixon, our own Secretary of State Herter, our own Attorney General Rogers who had masterminded the whole scheme — and that it was our own "distinguished" Senators Wayne Morse, Hubert Humphrey, Jake Javits, etc., who had sponsored it.

An that is the technique that keeps the UN "innocent" in the eyes of the majority of the American people — *American RENEGADES do the "dirty work" for them!*

But they (UN) did make one slip that completely unmasked the outfit — *but again the Press and Radio kept it from the people.* That happened right after Senator Connally torpedoed the "World Court" plot with his "six little words" Amendment. The UN and its Masterminds, infuriated and impatient, decided to use a direct "United Nations" gimmick that would so muzzle and handcuff and leg-chain all of the American people that they wouldn't need the "World Court" or any other scheme to enable them to force the United States into their One-World Government. They called it the "Genocide Pact" — the proper name for it is:

THE "GENOCIDE" PLOT

Back in 1945, in the formative days of the UN, out of San Francisco came a new word: GENOCIDE. Who coined that word is still a mystery. It could have been Alger Hiss — but, more likely, the nimble brain of a Dalton Trumbo or a John Howard Lawson, who are more accustomed to word coinage. Anyway, there it was — a new word with no authoritative interpretation for it. In those early days the craftiness of the Communist world was more or less an unknown quantity to me — I saw no menace in the new word. But I like to know the full meaning of every word I hear, hence I searched through the dictionary — but evidently Webster had never heard of GENOCIDE . . . even the Greeks didn't have a word for it. At that, it had no importance to me, and I dismissed it . . . *exactly as but a microscopic fraction of the American people still are dismissing it.* Yet, because the true meaning of the word is so completely hidden to the average person, GENOCIDE was then — *and still is* — the most frightening menace to the very existence of the United States as a sovereign nation . . . *and to the SAFETY of every citizen of the United States !!!*

On December 11, 1946, *exactly four months after Senator Connally stymied the "World Court" plot*, the UN passed a Resolution (No. 96) calling for a "Genocide Convention". The full title was "*Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide.*" The reasons for that "Convention" were explained in the Provisions of the Resolution, to-wit:

"The Contracting Parties (the Nations that were to ratify) Having considered the Declaration made by the General Assembly of the United Nations in its Resolution 96, dated December 11, 1946, that Genocide is a crime under international law, contrary to the spirit and aims of the United Nations and condemned by the civilized world . . . and recognizing that at all periods of history genocide has inflicted great losses on humanity; and being convinced that in order to liberate mankind from such an odious scourge, international co-operation is required, hereby agree as hereinafter provided:"

And then they defined "Genocide" in Article II of the "Treaty" as:

"Any of the following acts committed with intent to destroy, in whole or in part, a national, ethnical, racial or religious group, as such; A) Killing members of the group; B) Causing serious

bodily or mental harm to members of the group; C) Deliberately inflicting on the group conditions of life calculated to bring about its physical destruction in whole or in part; D) Imposing measures intended to prevent births within the group; E) Forcibly transferring children of the group to another group."

Article III listed the following among the acts which are punishable:

1) *"Direct and public incitement to commit Genocide; 2) Attempt to commit Genocide; 3) Complicity in Genocide."*

Article VI states:

"Persons charged with Genocide or any of the other acts enumerated in Article III shall be tried by a competent tribunal of the state in the territory in which the act was committed . . . or by such international penal tribunal as may have jurisdiction (the UN World Court) with respect to those Contracting Parties (meaning Nations) which shall have accepted its jurisdiction! . . . This last proviso, had our Senate ratified the "Genocide Treaty", would have spelled death to our American way of life — and to America as a free nation!



In explanation, the UN stated that the objective of the "Genocide Treaty" was to prevent atrocities such as the Hitler mass murder of the *"six million Jews"*, etc., etc. But they "forgot" to mention that Hitler's victims were peoples of several races and nationalities, by no means just one . . . *And they made no mention of Communist mass murders!* . . . and that calls for a bit of clarification:

The first draft of the "Treaty" defined Genocide as killing and/or harming of "National" . . . "Ethnical" . . . "Racial" . . . "Religious" — or POLITICAL groups. But in subsequent discussions by the UN the word "Political" was stricken out — *at the demand of Moscow!* Furthermore — *also at the insistence of Moscow* — the draft was further amended so as to define Genocide as forbidden ONLY when committed against such a National, Ethnical, Racial, or Religious group AS SUCH, but not forbidden against *"Political Enemies of State."*

Thus, the many millions of men and women Moscow condemns to a slow but sure death by sending them to Siberian slave labor camps, *where none survive for any length of time*, would not be protected by the Genocide Convention (or Law) — because they are condemned and are tortured to death not as "GROUPS" but as so-called *"Individual Enemies of State"*.

Now bear in mind that all of the above was known by the men then representing the United States in our dealings with the UN — *just as it is equally known by the men who represent us there today!* As proof of their knowledge, in outlining the text of that heinous “Treaty”, the United States originally took the stand that: “*Genocide could not be an international crime unless a Government participated in it*”, and, in conjunction with the British representatives, suggested the insertion in the Definition of Genocide: “*With the complicity of Government*”. But Moscow promptly screamed protests — *Trygvie Lie promptly approved Moscow’s protests* — and those qualifying words were deleted from the document that was submitted to our Senate Foreign Relations Committee.

And therein we have the most horrifying aspect of the vaunted “HUMANITARIANISM” of the UN, to-wit: That “Genocide Treaty” was not intended to prevent the mass murder of millions of people by TOTALITARIAN (Communist) Governments — *if it suited the purposes of such Governments to commit such murder.* Actually, had that “Treaty”, *with those qualifications*, been ratified and put into effect it would have LEGALIZED Genocide in Moscow and her Satellites!

And in the following we have concrete evidence that the UN is a Conspiracy, deliberately intent upon the destruction of the sovereignty of the United States as a nation — and of the freedoms of the American people.

●

UN-WORLD COURT — MOSCOW CONSPIRACY

●

As far as the American people were concerned, the entire Genocide plot was as tightly “top secret” as the infamous Yalta secret deals that delivered the Balkans and Eastern Europe to Joe Stalin. Not one newspaper revealed the hidden menace in it, not one Radio commentator mentioned it.

However, in all fairness, it must be stated that the entire “Genocide Treaty” plot was an amazingly well-kept secret. No copies of the “Treaty” were made available to the Press, nor to any members of our Congress. The only copy available was the one that was submitted to the Senate Foreign Relations Committee — and that was marked “*Strictly Top Secret*”. But early in September (1950) we (CEG) obtained a copy (*never mind how or whence*) — and thus the first intimation of the dire consequences if the Senate would

ratify the "Genocide Treaty" was published in our (CEG) November 1950 "News-Bulletin" entitled "GENOCIDE: A MENACE TO U. S." In that "News-Bulletin" we revealed the plot in all its grisly details. We sent copies of that issue to every member of both Houses of Congress. In addition, we sent copies to a carefully selected group of syndicated Columnists, such as George Sokolsky, Westbrook Pegler, Bob Considine, etc., etc., together with personal letters urging them to use their own means to get confirmation of the statements in that "News-Bulletin". Several of them did — and they began to publish *their* versions of the "Genocide" story.

The UN was startled — and panic stricken. If that story were allowed to spread the Senate wouldn't dare to ratify the "Treaty". But they had far more to lose than the mere rejection of the "Treaty" — the very existence of the UN was at stake. As previously shown, they could disavow the acts of UNRRA, of UNESCO, of all the UN agencies, but they could not deny, or disown the "Genocide" plot. That was definitely their own "baby" — it was the first time that the UN could be directly charged with conspiracy to harm (destroy) the United States, *their host nation!* If that story went far enough the American people conceivably might demand that the U. S. get out of the UN and the UN be hurled out of the U. S. — and that would be the end of the UN!

Something had to be done to "kill" that story — and to forestall a nation-wide outcry. And then, lo and behold, it happened: a sensational dispatch from the Sanctum Sanctorum of the International Court of Justice: "*Moscow had signed the Genocide Treaty*" !!! It had a terrific impact on our Press — almost equal to an announcement that the Russian people had revolted and smashed the Communist regime. It silenced all those who had been critical of the "Genocide Treaty". Naturally! If the Men in the Kremlin felt the need of a Law to prevent "genocide" how could the decent American people oppose it? The UN breathed a great sigh of relief.

But, indeed, God does move in mysterious ways His Miracles to perform — it was none other than one of Moscow's own delegates to the UN who let the cat out of the bag. Whether under the influence of too much vodka, or by a desire to boast and jeer a la Khrushchev, that delegate revealed that Moscow's "ratification" of the "Genocide Treaty" had been done at the behest of the UN and the World Court — *in order to "shame the American people and our Senate to do likewise!"* . . . But those boys in the Kremlin were no fools — before they signed that "Treaty" they had secured a secret agreement (*there goes that "secret agreement" business again*) from the "World Court" that Moscow would never be held to that

“Treaty” — that none of the provisions in that “Treaty” would ever be applied against Russia and/or any of her Satellites.

Once again, panic swept through the “holy” halls of the UN. This time, they realized, it might well be suicidal to try any more tricks—they precipitately withdrew the “Treaty” from the Senate Foreign Relations Committee . . . and the powers-that-be in Washington ordered the Press to “*forget all about it*”.

WHY THIS NEW WARNING?

Obviously, that made the “Genocide Treaty” a dead issue. So the reader might well ask: “why bring it all up again at this time?” The answer is simple: *that plot is not dead!* As with all their schemes, the Masterminds of the Great Conspiracy are merely biding their time until the people go back into slumberland when they will launch it again under a new name. The new name is the “*International Covenant of Human Rights*” — sponsored, of course, by the “United Nations” . . . *it is the same old plot — with the same old “Genocide” provisions.*

Now, as a matter of actual fact, the UN had this new version all set and ready to be sprung within a year after the so-called “Genocide Treaty” had been torpedoed. Why have they delayed it so long? Again the answer is very simple: if they succeed in getting the “*Connally Amendment*” repealed it will save them the trouble — *and risks* — of submitting the “Covenant” for ratification by our Senate — a “Decision” by the “World Court” would enact the “Covenant” into Law, exactly as the “Decision” by the U. S. Supreme Court enacted “De-Segregation” into Law.

Now I will show what the “Genocide Treaty” would have done to the American people . . . what, *under its new name*, it still intends to do to us:

Ostensibly, as previously indicated, the “Genocide Treaty” was set up to prevent the mass murder of “minority groups”, and even the wanton killing of any one member of such groups. So far, so good. But, *carefully camouflaged in the fine print of the text*, it makes physical or MENTAL injury to such an individual an equally grievous “crime”, for which the individual who committed the “crime” can be taken out of his own country, without going through

any "arrest" formalities, to be tried before any tribunal designated by the UN's "World Court", whether it be located in Paris, in Prague, or in Bombay — *and no law of his own country can be invoked for his protection — nor can his country appoint a lawyer to defend him.* Thus, if you (*any American*) should hurt the feelings of a Jew by casting even a *joking* aspersion on his race, or that of a negro by thoughtlessly calling him "nigger", or even "darky" (*both common words in this country*), you will have "*mentally injured*" him. All that the "injured" one would have to do is file a complaint with the UN and you could promptly be hauled off to a Tribunal in Tel Aviv, or in Afghanistan, or in Moscow, *as decided by the UN*, and, if found guilty, sentenced to hard labor in a Siberian Slave Labor Camp (*where all Americans would indeed be most welcome*), — or even executed — *and the United States could do nothing about it !!!*

Now, can you imagine what would happen under that "Law" to all our Southern Whites who oppose desegregation — and thereby inflict "*mental anguish*" on all the members of the NAACP? . . . can you imagine what would happen to the owners of Lunch Rooms and Cafeterias where negro "students" are denied service? . . . or to the owner of a hotel or resort who'd refuse the patronage of a Jew? . . . and with that kind of power in their hands who would dare to oppose *anything* that the UN would decide to do? — *even the transformation of the United States into a province of a One-World Government !!!*

●

POVL BANG-JENSEN DIED TO PROVE IT.

●

And if we need any further proof of the deliberate collusion between the UN and Moscow — *and between the UN and our own traitors in Washington* — the Povl Bang-Jensen story provides it conclusively. Lack of space prevents the telling of that gruesome story in this issue. To get the full effect of it, it must be told in all its details — which will be done in our next issue. However, General George E. Stratemeyer went to the heart of that story in a letter recently issued, which reads as follows — *and to which I, Myron C. Fagan, wholeheartedly subscribe !!!*

"To Whom it may concern:

"In our opinion Povl Bang-Jensen did NOT commit suicide. Anyone as courageous as he would never take his own life. We have

always been suspicious of the deaths of Secretary of Defense James Forrestal, General George Patton and Senator Joseph McCarthy. Therefore, we believe it is time for Patriots to take steps to protect themselves. We have done the following:

"We have notified our lawyer that we have no intention of committing suicide. That if we are found dead under peculiar circumstances or should disappear, we want him to insist upon a thorough investigation. To keep at it until the cowardly murderers are exposed and brought to justice.

"We urge all Patriots who are fighting to preserve our Republic to do likewise without delay.

"A copy of this paper has been sent to the Sheriff of Orange County, Florida, the Chief of Police of Winter Park, Florida, and the head of the F. B. I. in Washington, D. C."

(s) "Annalee Stratemeyer

(s) "George E. Stratemeyer

"Lt. Gen. U. S. Air Force (Ret.)"

IMPEACHMENTS CAN SAVE OUR COUNTRY

This year we (CEG) decided to have our "Year-End Report" serve as a poll of our members on a vital question, to-wit: should the American people demand that Congress impeach the several men most active in the plot to repeal the Connally Amendment? — in particular, Eisenhower, who launched the plot, and Nixon, Rogers, Herter, Jake Javits and Hubert Humphrey who nurtured it? The replies were preponderantly — *and emphatically* — YES!

However, some few urged leniency in the case of Eisenhower — for two reasons: — 1) Ike is not very bright; he is under evil influences; and probably is unaware that what he has done — *and is doing* — comes under the heading of treason, — 2) It would be a very sorry ending for a great war hero.

I agree with those who consider Eisenhower a stupid man — and that his "decisions" have been *and still are* made for him by such as Brother Miltie, Sherman Adams, Baruch, Dewey, the ADL, the NAACP, etc., etc. But when a soldier, and Eisenhower *was* a soldier of sorts, is hauled up for Court Martial he is tried for his *acts*, not for the "reasons" that he committed them; nor does the fact

that he was “influenced” to commit those acts absolve him. Indeed, those who “influenced” him would face the same charges — and suffer similar punishment . . . and I don’t go along with the second reason at all! *According to the records*, Eisenhower was no more a “hero” than were the crooked Lawmen of the old West whom our TV script writers have transformed into “heroes”.

But to save all arguments, let’s say that Eisenhower *was* a “war hero”. Nobody can dispute that Marshall Petain was France’s *greatest* hero in the first World War. But when he collaborated with Hitler in World War Two, France tried him, found him guilty — and sentenced him to life imprisonment. And it wasn’t his one-time heroism that saved him from the hangman’s noose — it was the fact that he was so very old and pitifully senile when he committed those acts — the French people felt they were greatly to blame for having given him the power to commit those acts at his age and in his weak state of mind . . . *but Pierre Laval, who “influenced” him, DID get the hangman’s noose!*

And don’t forget, Petain had an excuse: France was a conquered nation, occupied by notoriously bestial Nazi armies. Petain felt that if he went along with Hitler’s requirements he could ease conditions for the French people. Properly appraised, it was not such a very far-fetched theory. But to the French people it was an inexcusable excuse for a French soldier — equally inexcusable for a French politician. Due to his age — and, I’ll admit, to his past glorious services to the nation — Petain escaped the extreme penalty. But I can’t imagine that life imprisonment was a much less ignominious end for one who truly had been so great.

But Eisenhower hasn’t even that much of an excuse. Leaving out all his acts of aid and comfort to the Enemy before he entered the White House, he *knew* that he was betraying his office, his oath, and the American people when he made it impossible for Senator McCarthy to carry out his investigation of Reds in our Armed Forces and in the State Department . . . he knew that the UN was — *and is* — serving as a sanctuary for traitors and Red spies masquerading as UN guards (*remember how they kidnapped those Russian (refugee) sailors and flew them back to Russia?*) . . . he knew that he was rendering aid and comfort to Moscow when he held the “Geneva Summit Meeting” with Khrushchev — and again when he (secretly) arranged that triumphal tour of the United States for that Beast of Moscow — and entertained him at a private and hush-hush “Summit Meeting” at Camp David . . . he knew when he launched the invasion of Little Rock that he was creating exactly the kind of strife and dissension between the Whites and Negroes

that the Internationalist-Communist Conspiracy has long been trying to achieve

I could go on citing many more similar incidents of aid and comfort to the Enemy, but limited space won't permit, so I'll come right to the most vital point.

Eisenhower has never concealed his determination to "*strengthen the United Nations*" into a One-World Government — and we KNOW that that is the chief objective of Brother Miltie, Ike's guiding brain. Well, the following provides the concrete proof of that *determination*.

Eisenhower knows that the repeal of the Connally Amendment would automatically place the United States under the COMPULSORY jurisdiction of the World Court — *which is the "Supreme Court" of the "United Nations", answerable only to the "United Nations"!*

Now, at this very time, Eisenhower is agitating a "Disarmament" scheme for all the nations in the world. He KNOWS the Communist nations (Moscow and Red China) will not disarm — so he blandly proposes that we, the U.S. (and all of the West), turn over our Armies, our Navies, our Air Force, and all our Armaments, Nuclear included, to the "United Nations" — and have that "organization" guard the PEACE of the World.

That was exactly what the "United World Federalists" tried to slip over on us in 1949!

With the "World Court" to issue their "Decisions", what more would the UN need to "reorganize" itself into a "One-World Government"? . . . *And who would dare resist it?*

But to make sure that no one would dare resist it, in mid-February, in anticipation of the repeal of the Connally Amendment, a plan was submitted to the Senate Foreign Relations Committee to expand the "World Court" into a world-wide system of regional courts, 20 to 40 in number, with full authority to penalize individuals and private organizations — at the *discretion of the UN!*

The "architect" of the plan is one Prof. Louis B. Sohn of the Harvard University Law School — *an intimate of U. S. Attorney General Rogers*. In submitting his "plan", Sohn blandly envisioned for the Senators that the "plan" would be of inestimable aid to the World Court at The Hague, especially with the influx of "business" following the repeal of the Connally Amendment. Briefly, the plan calls for an expansion of the World Court into a sprawling system of regional courts upon which would sit judges *appointed by the*

United Nations from a list of qualified individuals *prepared by the World Court*. The judges would be appointed for life and could be removed only by vote of a two-thirds majority of the World Court itself!

The jurisdiction of the regional courts would include the trial of individuals and private organizations accused of offenses against the UN Charter. A section on "enforcement and penalties" provides methods of punishing defiance of court orders exactly as provided in the original "GENOCIDE" Laws . . . WHAT PRICE OUR FREEDOM?

This is what will happen to the United States — and to you and to me — if ever we permit the repeal of the Connally Amendment . . .

If that doesn't add up to deliberate treason we will have to recall Webster from his grave to give us a new definition for that word . . . moreover, if anybody has the slightest doubt of the accuracy of that report I refer that individual to Senator John M. Butler (Maryland) for confirmation.

There is only one way to prevent all further attempts to repeal the Connally Amendment — or to ratify the GENOCIDE "Law" under another name — or any other form of betrayal to "*strengthen the United Nations*", and that is by IMPEACHMENT proceedings against the men who were the most active in that plot . . . such proceedings (Congressional Hearings), *open to the public*, will quickly establish their guilt — or their innocence . . . but it will do even more — it will expose and smash the entire Conspiracy, *and unmask all the Conspirators* — it will reveal the UN in all of its hideous aspects, even to those bewildered Americans who cling to that cesspool of Hell as the only assurance of PEACE . . . but, *even more important*, it will stand forever as a stern warning to all men who in the future will enter the White House and the Halls of Congress and all other seats of government that they are not sacrosanct — that they *are* subject to the will of the people.

Our nation — we, the American people — are entitled to that much protection by our Congress !!!

●

ANTI-AMERICAN ORGANIZATIONS

●

There is another kind of individual who is a dire menace to our country. Collectively, they call themselves "Liberals", "Intellectuals", "Humanitarians". And they have banded themselves together

into what is commonly known as "pressure groups". The best known — *and most vicious* — of such groups are, of course, ADA (Americans for Democratic Action), the ADL, the NAACP, the URBAN LEAGUE, the CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION, the "*American Jewish Committee*", the "*American Jewish Congress*", etc., etc. In various of our "News-Bulletins" we frequently exposed the interlocking and over-lapping connections between all of them — and established, *with documentary evidence*, their vicious anti-American objectives. "American Mercury" and other loyal publications have done likewise. As a result, all of this type of "group" have been so thoroughly unmasked that, although they have tremendous power and unlimited financing (*provided by those "financial interests" mentioned by Taft in his posthumously published "memorandums"*), their influences are limited to the so-called "Minority Groups" and the kind of politicians who'd sell out their own mothers for personal advancement.

Then there are such groups as "United World Federalists", "Atlantic Union", "Federal Union", etc., etc., who openly and brazenly crusade for "One-World Government". They too, are knit together by interlocked Directorates. For many years, using PEACE as their bait, they deluded many thousands of otherwise loyal Americans into supporting them. But since the treason of the "United World Federalists" was so thoroughly exposed (in 1949) all such groups are discredited and function more or less clandestinely.

However, there is another type of pressure group that is by far the most dangerous of all . . . because they operate under a crafty cloak of respectability — even of piety. For examples: the "*National Council of Churches*" and the "*Christian and Jewish Brotherhood*" employ religion as a cloak for their nefarious activities — the "*National Education Association*" and the "*League of Women Voters*" spread their poison under the guise of public-spirited civic organizations.

The reason they have been able to retain their so-called "respectability" lies in the crafty techniques they employ to delude their members and supporters — many of whom are otherwise loyal Americans. For example, there are times when they go out of their ways to serve what *seemingly* are good purposes — and, *also at times*, they are quite fair in the presentation of some public issues which they actually oppose. Thus its innocent, otherwise patriotic, non-radical members are completely unaware that they are being systematically fed radical and subversive propaganda. And thus when those "brainwashed" members are warned about the radicalism (*the proper word is treason*) of such "groups" they flare in-

dignantly — and point to the “good deeds” of their particular organization.

Another protection for their “respectability” is that they have not been investigated by any of the Congressional Committees and, thus far, have escaped being listed as subversive — and, when attacked, they are quick to point to that fact. But that “fact” is no criterion. There have been many, MANY demands for investigations of the ADL, of NAACP, of all such “organizations” — but all members of Congress shy away from such investigations — all those “organizations” have vast influences . . . and represent many VOTES!

However, there is a very simple way to establish the radicalism and subversiveness of all those “organizations”: analyze the backgrounds of the founders and leaders — and check their various activities . . . *that’s the proof that quickly tears away their masks of respectability.* As a prime example let’s take:

THE “LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS”

The founders and guiding spirits in the establishing and setting up of the objectives of the “LEAGUE” were MRS. CARRIE CHAPMAN CATT and the even more notorious JANE ADDAMS. Other top figures in the outfit were EMILY NEWELL BLAIR, who, at the behest of LEAGUE, was the architect of “The League of Women Shoppers”, revealed by the Communist Party itself to be one of its most highly-prized “Fronts”; JULIA CLIFFORD LATHROP, one of the organizers of the “American Society for Cultural Relations with Russia”; MRS. HENRY GODDARD LEACH, executive director of the “American-Russian Institute” in the mid-30s; ALICE HAMILTON, another “architect” of various Red Fronts, among them the virulent “American-Soviet Friendship”; ANNA LORD STRAUSS, equally notorious for her pro-Communist activities, in affiliation with such as Eleanor Roosevelt, Channing Tobias, Philip E. Jessup, etc. I could name MANY more of the LEAGUE’S top brass who are *documented REDS* and FELLOW-TRAVELLERS, but limit of space won’t permit . . . furthermore, I wish to stress that all of the above named women have records of pro-Communist activities that go way beyond the above citations — it would require pages to list them. So now let’s go back to the background of the LEAGUE itself — and of the two chief founders, Mrs. Catt and Miss Adams.

As is well known, Carrie Catt was one of the most notorious of

the professional radical-pacifists in the teen years of this century. She first came into prominence when she organized and headed the National American Suffrage Association. Then, in February 1919, she organized and set up the "League of Women Voters" as an auxiliary of the "Suffrage" organization. I presume we must assume that it was just sheer co-incidence that the "*Communist Party of America*" came into being simultaneously — *or was it?* But it certainly has not been sheer co-incidence that ever since then the LEAGUE has been most assiduous in its support and promotion of every objective of the PARTY. Anyway, from that time until her death, in 1947, Carrie Catt was active in virtually every Red Front — her associates throughout all those years were the most rabid of all the radicals, such as Anarchists Emma Goldman and Alexander Berkman, Leon Trotsky, Eugene V. Debs, Sidney Hillman, Paul Robeson, Rockwell Kent, Marc Blitzstein, Emil Lengyel, etc., etc. . . . and, of course, *Eleanor Roosevelt!*

Jane Addams became known as a bleeding-heart do-gooder and pacifist when she was barely out of her teens. She set up the famous HULL HOUSE in the notorious West Side slum district in Chicago — and she gained nation-wide fame as the Matriarch of that so-called Settlement House, where she played hostess to a varied assortment of Socialists, Radicals and Anarchists (*the early day Communists*). Anarchists Emma Goldman and Alexander Berkman were her house guests at the Hull House when they sojourned in Chicago; likewise Harold Ware, the worthy son of the infamous Communist Mother Bloor — he was the mentor of Alger Hiss, and organizer of the first Communist spy cell in our government. When the organizers of the Communist Party came to Chicago to set up their first "convention" in that city they made their headquarters at the Hull House — and if Jane Addams was not *officially* one of the organizers she certainly played gracious hostess to them. And throughout her career her closest friends and associates, in addition to Goldman and Berkman, were Wm. Z. Foster, Earl Browder, Leon Trotsky, Norman Thomas, Harry F. Ward, Sidney Hillman, Eugene V. Debs, Roger Baldwin and, of course, the Black Widow of Hyde Park. In short, all the known Communists and revolutionists of her days . . . and to attempt to list all the Red Fronts she organized and sponsored would require a hefty book.

Now to list just a few of the activities of the LEAGUE itself . . . *but that, too, would require several volumes, so I'll touch only the highlights:*

The purpose of the LEAGUE, as proclaimed by its founders and their successors, was to alert the women of America to become active in politics — "*for the good of the American people.*" But from

its inception, until 1933, when Roosevelt "recognized" Moscow, the LEAGUE agitated fervidly for American "recognition" of Moscow . . . they bitterly opposed the Walter-McCarran immigration Law . . . they zealously supported the "*United World Federalists*" plot to set up a One-World Government . . . they have carried on a campaign to abolish the House Un-American Activities Committee — and all Committees investigating Communism . . . they oppose all Loyalty Oaths — and fight against any and all Legislation, Federal and State, that call for dismissals of government employees, or teachers, guilty of subversion . . . they strenuously oppose any Legislation toward reducing the income tax to a 25% ceiling . . . they fought the Bricker Amendment to a standstill . . . they agitate for desegregation as actively as do the ADL, the "American Jewish Committee" and the NAACP . . . they were the most active "group" in support of the original "Wayne Morse Resolution" to place the United States under the compulsory jurisdiction of the "World Court" — and are foremost in the campaign to repeal the "Connally Amendment".

In short, the first and foremost program of the "League of Women Voters" is to utilize every means at their command to propagandize for ultra-radical Internationalism. Their chief objective, *ever since the "United Nations" was created*, has been to popularize that cess-pool of treason to the U. S., and to belittle and play down all opposition to the UN. Their frantic support of America's membership in the UN is just the first big step in the vicious scheme to pave the way for *total* world government — *which would mean the abolition of the United States!* As Eleanor has frequently stated that "*the UN is the incubator of WORLD GOVERNMENT*", so does Anna Lord Strauss, former president of the LEAGUE, proclaim that "*World Government is the ultimate goal of the LEAGUE*" . . . and, *with Ike's PEACE "pilgrimages" all over the world as their bait*, the LEAGUE now is propagandizing with the "good neighbor" approach in its efforts to break down our respect for and loyalty to America.

There is much, MUCH more to be told about this "LEAGUE" to destroy America, particularly about its affiliation with the vicious "Fund For the Republic" and its "FREEDOM AGENDA" project, but limitation of space won't permit it at this time — I will follow it up in forthcoming issues.

However, in view of all of the above, do we need citations from the House Un-American Activities Committee, or any other government agencies, to establish that the "League of Women Voters" —(and all such groups) is as dangerous to the United States and the American people as the Kremlin itself?

CPA BOOK PUBLISHER

P. O. Box 596, Boring, OR 97009

Email: cpabooks@hotmail.com